

MEMORANDUM FOR:

AETM M

- Hold.

- My judgement is that this ~~was~~ should not have happened, but that it did not give any significant advantage to [redacted]

[redacted]

24 July 70  
(DATE)

✓ NTIC

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101  
1 AUG 54 WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

25X1

25X1

DECLASS REVIEW by NGA

CONFIDENTIAL

7il

16

24 July 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

1. At approximately 1430 hours this date [REDACTED] of DIAAP-9 visited the undersigned's office to review the 21 July 1970 Draft of "Chronology of [REDACTED] 1540 Light Table Development". Said visit was at the invitation of the undersigned issued in the afternoon of 23 July 1970.

2. The above named DIAAP-9 members made the following specific observations:

Item 32. 15 April 1970

[REDACTED] was meeting with [REDACTED] on the first floor of [REDACTED] at the same time, [REDACTED] were with [REDACTED] representatives [REDACTED] and two others--not identified) in the DIA testing area. Both the [REDACTED] table and the [REDACTED] table were set up in the area at this time. According to [REDACTED] spent some of his time in viewing the [REDACTED] table.

The purpose of the above meeting was to discuss the deficiencies in both tables.

Item 33. 16 - 21 April 1970

Subpar. b. - "IAS had both of the tables for 3 days of evaluation".

DIA maintained that they picked up the table from IAS on 13 April 1970 which is consistent with the dates of 13 - 16 April 1970 shown in Item 30. Therefore, IAS could not have had the table from 16 - 21 April 1970 and T&EB could not have replaced [REDACTED] lamps during DIAAP-9 evaluation as indicated in Subpar. e. of Item 33.

[REDACTED]  
Chief, SC&PB/SS/TSG

CONFIDENTIAL

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification